Introduction

The AfLIA / OER Africa WhatsApp was created on 27th July, 2021 by Dr. Tony Lelliot of OER Africa. The group was initially meant to be a platform where participants (librarians) of a pilot training on Open content could interact and engage one another on the three Learning Pathways taught them by OER Africa. Gradually, the group has been transforming into a growing Community of Practice (CoP) on OER where resources are shared on open knowledge and how to advocate for, promote, and drive the concept and practice in the African library sector.

The participants understand that discussions about OER in the continent have largely excluded librarians. They are gearing up not just to be part of the discussions in Africa but globally, too, and, if possible, to get seats at the table on issues concerning OER. They are also aware that librarians in other climes are stretching librarianship as a profession and now occupy positions such as Scholarly Communications Librarian, OER Liaison Librarian, etc. The group is interested in getting African librarians ready to occupy such positions and more.

The personas in the comic strip do not all have names. It was debated in the Group whether to name them or not. Some opined that it was not important to give them names. Others were of the view that anonymity breeds ‘naughtiness’! A hybrid approach was agreed on – some of the personas are named, while some are not. The Group had translated the UNESCO OER logo into their local languages from Botswana, Ghana, Kenya, Mozambique, Nigeria, Rwanda, South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, and Zimbabwe. It was thus natural to use names from these countries in the strip. Amani is a female name and means ‘Peace’ in Kiswahili which is spoken in most parts of East Africa with slight variations. Kofi is a male name from Ghana and means a son born on Friday. Adebimpe is a female Yoruba name from Nigeria. It is a name associated with royalty and means ‘the crown gave birth to me perfectly/completely’.

This comic strip was first shared in the AfLIA/OER Africa WhatsApp group. The strip on Open Licensing uses personas in a classroom – the instructor and the students, who are adults and probably attending part-time classes as part of a Continuing Professional Development (CPD), programme. It was meant to break down Open licensing for them as librarians who may need to understand the concept layer by layer so that they can easily explain it to their user communities who wish to know about the concept and then drive the practice in their different institutions and communities. The strip is also meant to serve as background information that will lead librarians to a better understanding of The UNESCO OER Recommendation and Open Knowledge: An Overview for African Librarians
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THE FIRST LESSON...
What is Open licensing?

Who has an idea?

I know it’s about copyright but ...!

Please, can I try?

Sure! Can you tell us what Open licensing is?
What is Open licensing?

Thank you, Sir.

Ehhm
Licenses spell out how the copyright owner wishes or allows others to use or reuse the content he/she created.

Sure! Great! Go on.

Open licensing is....

Sir. I have another opinion. I don’t think she is right. I know something else about licenses.

She hasn’t finished explaining but you have jumped in! Patience is key to learning.
Note: Everyone has a right to express their opinions especially when learning. Asking questions or expressing our thoughts helps to ‘out’ the ‘wrong ideas’ about what is being taught. It is part of the unlearning process that will drive learning and/or unlearning.
Note: There is a critical point here. Licenses are how the owner or creator of an information resource or an artwork, in whatever format, wishes the content he/she created to be used or reused. When publishers buy or take over the intellectual work of an author/creator, it now becomes theirs and they issue licenses that stipulate out how such content can be used or distributed. The licenses may be highly restrictive and may be include conditions about simultaneous use of the resources, photocopying etc.

This is vastly different from open licensing.

The important thing is to understand that licenses within the knowledge ecosystem are all about permissions/restrictions from the author/creator of an information resource on how to use their intellectual or artistic work.
Open licensing is....

Ok.
How we use information resources is normally restricted by copyright provisions. Open licenses give sets of conditions that when applied to an original work grant permission for anyone to make use of that work as long as they follow the conditions of the license.

No, open licenses do not replace copyright in an information resource.

They only provide a way for content creators to allow others to use the information resource without undue restrictions such as requesting permission to translate it or draw a comic strip like this with pictures others created...

This sounds as if it will give room for more creativity?

Hmmm... Can it enable the translation of information resources?
Note: Copyright? Open Licenses? What’s the connection?

Open licenses do not replace copyright, rather they provide flexibility and standardized legal pathways for people to use the intellectual property of others in different ways other than just to access or read the resources.

The core principle behind open licenses may be ‘knowledge as a public good’. When people understand that knowledge is necessary for sustainable development, that altruism should underpin intellectual ‘property’ rather than pecuniary gratification, then open licenses are the way to go. Open licenses also help build the intellectual capital of creators/authors as more people gain access and use the knowledge created to bring forth more knowledge.

Note: Open licenses have different permissions and conditions.
Another day in class, after the Sallah break...
AFTER THE SALLAH BREAK...

It's been a long Sallah break!

Can someone remind us where we stopped the last time?

You taught us how Open licenses enable information resources to be read and easily shared.

Can I say something, Sir?

AFTER THE SALLAH BREAK...

Thanks, Kofi.
Yes, Amani, please go on.

You also said that they open licenses have conditions attached to them and that they do not take away the rights of the authors.

You also said that they are pathways for authors and creators to share their rights with users and other authors/creators.
Note: We are concentrating on Creative Commons as Open Licenses that are mostly used for educational resources and literary works. There are many other types of open licenses especially those used for software programmes that enable them to be used, modified and built on, and also shared.
Types of Open licenses

Creative Commons (CC) range of licenses are the most widely used open licenses for educational information resources and literary works. The licenses allow the authors or creators as copyright holders (licensors) to still retain their rights and then grant varying usage rights to the public.

A little bit of background...

Creative Commons (CC) is a not-for-profit organization. It was founded in 2001.

The main goal of the organization is to assist people to benefit fully from knowledge in order "to address the world’s most pressing challenges and create a brighter future for all". This can be achieved through "better sharing: sharing that is contextual, inclusive, just, equitable, reciprocal, and sustainable".

It released its first group of Open licenses in December 2002.

Today, more than 2 billion works including literary works, videos, pictures, audios, educational resources, scientific report and more carry CC licenses.
Please note that CC stands for Creative Commons. Anywhere you see a license that starts with CC, then it is in the suite of licenses from that organization.

BY – stands for attribution. It means that the license requires that you must acknowledge the original author/creator of the intellectual content.

This license is the most permissive of all CC licenses. For instance, if a teacher in Cape Town, South Africa writes a lesson note on information literacy and uses this license to share it online, it has several implications.

- It means another teacher in Kenya can translate the lesson note into Kiswahili or that a teacher in Kumasi, Ghana can build on it and add more examples from his experience or that another teacher from Nigeria can add an audio clip or even a video explaining the concepts in the lesson note.

- The only condition is that the teachers in Kenya, Ghana and Nigeria must acknowledge the original author.

- This license does not have any restrictions on whether the content can be used commercially or the license that the adaptations will use. Take note of this.
Open licenses have the potential of making available more quality and current learning materials. It also makes collaborations and the creating of new knowledge possible. This is crucial as the world continues to face daunting development problems. Knowledge is a critical component for developing innovative solutions including technologies for driving development. However, the Global South continues to be shortchanged in this as knowledge of all types is locked behind pay-walls and restrictive technologies. Our teachers continue to recycle old lesson notes created years and years ago as they do not have full access to current best practices, practical processes and research findings in their various disciplines. Thus, young people graduate from tertiary institutions with outdated ideas and almost zero practical skills. For example, electrical engineering graduates in Africa may find it difficult to manufacture chips for fans, computers and phones. Why? No one taught them in school. Again, you may ask, why? The teachers / instructors do not have access to practical knowledge of how it is done! Open license is not a magic bullet, but it can make huge differences in access to knowledge for development in Africa.

A question had arisen when the poster above was shared in the AfLIA/OER Africa WhatsApp group – “What does it mean that the author must be attributed?”

The following answer was given with an example from a book on www.storyweaver.org.in, a site that houses openly licensed storybooks for children.
This is an openly licensed story on Storyweaver site. It was translated into Igbo language. Notice the attribution on the front page. The original person that wrote the book is mentioned as well as the illustrator of the original book before the translator is acknowledged. Also read the small print on the attribution page. It mentions all the illustrations on the different pages, the title for each of the illustration, the illustration as well as the license under which each of those illustrations are shared. This shows that Open Licensing does not deprive an author/creator of his/her rights. It simply provides legally standardized avenues on how the author/creator wishes others to interact with the work. The intellectual property continues to belong to the author/creator as others use and reuse the content. The author retains some rights while some others are ceded to users. Also, note that the license for the book is CC BY.

Imagine how African authors/creators can become known and acknowledged all over the world as they begin to share their content using open licenses. Think of how libraries can increase their e-resources stock when they tap into the practice of open licensing! Nevertheless, always remember that attribution is a must!
2. **CC BY-SA**

This license is almost the same with CC BY but an additional condition has been added.

Notice the new acronym..

**CC** – Creative Commons

**BY** – Must be attributed to the original author/creator

**SA** – Share Alike

If an author in Sierra Leone shares his book online and inputs the license as CC BY-SA, another author in Senegal can translate it into French, another one in Malawi can add a video clip while another author can download it and turn into a screen play. The condition? The new adaptation must be shared under the same license of CC BY-SA and must be attributed to the original author. That is a clear restriction.

Remember, each license has its own characteristics and condition(s). Once you understand the acronyms then you can tell the different restrictions/permissions.
3. **CC BY-SA-NC**

A new acronym has been introduced in this license – NC.

**NC** – Non-Commercial

The first two licenses CC BY and CC BY-SA did not specify on commercial usage of information resources. However, this one specifies that first;

**CC** – it is a Creative Commons license

**BY** – attribution is necessary

**NC** – the original work and any adaptations or derivatives must not be used for commercial purposes or for pecuniary benefits.

This is a specific restriction and the licensor of an information resource with this license, that is the copyright owner, as indicated in the license has legal rights to seek legal redress if perchance one uses his/her work for commercial purposes. Always look at the logo of each Creative Commons license. The icons in the different licenses point to the permissions and restrictions.
4. **CC BY-NC-SA**

It's getting progressively restrictive! More conditions are being introduced into the open licenses.

This license combines two restrictions/conditions;

**CC** – It is a creative Commons license

**BY** – You must give attribution

**NC** – It is not for commercial purposes

**SA** – Share Alike, that is any derivative and/or adaptations made from the original information resource that has this license must be shared.

Remember, the 4 we have listed so far...

1. CC BY
2. CC BY-SA
3. CC BY-NC
4. CC BY-NC-SA
The common condition of all the CC licenses is that of attribution (BY). Then they have other different characteristics...

SA - Share Alike - that is, any adaptations you make from a book with a license that has SA means that you must use the same license as is in the original book.

NC - Not for commercial purposes

5. **CC BY-ND**

This is a tough one! It is an open license but yet one cannot make any adaptation to it. Is it really open then?

It is important to keep your eyes on the icons in the logos.
6. **CC BY-NC-ND**

This is the most restrictive CC open license. You cannot remix or adapt or translate any information resource with this license neither can you use it commercially!
Though these last two licenses do not allow for adventures or adaptations, they are still open. One can access the information resources with these licenses, use and share them as they are not behind pay-walls nor do they have restrictions of how many times you can view them or share them with others.

When we move to OER and go through the Overview of UNESCO OER Recommendation for African librarians you will see the bigger picture and understand more about Open licensing.

I think we may still have a chance to provide feedback to Creative Commons on any license you may wish to be amended.

Yes, Amari, please go on.

The organization has been consistent in adding users of their licenses for feedback and this has led to changes from CC 1.0 → 4.0.
Learning about Open Licensing

The name "Creative Commons" encompasses the concept of the commons as a social system where resources are held in common so you will understand why feedback is important as Amanu tells us.

On 16th December 2003, the first versions of CC licenses were released 0.0. They were 11 in number.

In May 2004, new versions of the licenses were launched. This version (CC 2.0) made attribution a standard across all the licenses as feedback indicated it was necessary and reduced the number from 11 to 6.

In June, 2005 there was a slight tweak in the language about attribution to the 2.0 suite of licenses. Thus, CC 2.5 licenses came on board.

Version 3.0 was released in 2007. These new changes were to address technological changes that lock up content as well as address issues of moral rights of the author/creator's integrity and then internationalization.

In November 2013, Creative Commons published the version 4.0 license suite. These licenses are the most up-to-date licenses offered by CC, and are recommended over all prior versions.

Also, I must mention that books in the public domain are different from those that are openly licensed.

Someone can create an information resource and decide it will be in the public domain, that is owned by the public without copyright restrictions whatever. Or the Copyright has lapsed and was not renewed. However, a resource in a public domain in one country can be under copyright in another country.

When we go into Open Educational Resources, and the Overview of the UNESCO OER Recommendation for African librarians, you will understand more.

We were supposed to be here for only 10 minutes but your questions which are all good turned this into a 1 1/2 hours lecture.

Good Bye!
Further Reading

1. Open Definition: Defining Open in Open Data, Open Content And Open Knowledge [https://opendefinition.org/guide/]
2. CC: Frequently Asked Questions [https://creativecommons.org/faq/]
3. OER Background: Creative Commons (CC) - Licensing and OER [https://library.fvtc.edu/CreativeCommons/Licenses]
4. What are Creative Commons and Open Licences? [https://open.bccampus.ca/what-is-open-education/what-are-creative-commons-and-open-licences/]
5. What are Open Licenses? [https://www.yearofopen.org/what-are-open-licenses/]
7. No copyright [https://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/mark/1.0/]
8. Welcome to the Public Domain [https://fairuse.stanford.edu/overview/public-domain/welcome/]
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The question asked on page 15 was raised at the AfLIA/OER WhatsApp group.

The storyline was inspired by the MoU signed by OER Africa and AfLIA to develop a Continuing Professional Development (CPD) framework for African librarians that will lead them into being the major drivers of the practice of OER in Africa.